The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Pennsylvania.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREE-MENT—HOUSE MESSAGE TO AC-COMPANY S. 3 AND EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. SANTORUM. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that at 1:40 the Senate resume consideration of the House message to accompany S. 3, the partial-birth abortion ban bill; provided further that time on the motion to disagree be limited to 1 hour equally divided in the usual form; further, that following the use or yielding back of the time the Senate proceed to a vote on the motion with all other provisions of the agreement remaining in effect.

I further ask unanimous consent that following the vote, the Senate immediately proceed to executive session to consecutive votes on the following nominations on today's Executive Calendar: Calendar Nos. 352, 347, 348, 350, and 351.

Further, I ask unanimous consent that there be 2 minutes equally divided between the two leaders or their respective designees prior to each vote; further, that following the votes the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table, the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action, and the Senate then return to legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

The Senator from Nevada.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, the 30 minutes will begin on our side in 10 minutes. I want to make sure the RECORD reflects that Senator BOXER will control that time. There are a number of Senators who wish to speak at that time. But I ask if my friend, the Senator from Pennsylvania, would allow her, Senator BOXER, to have the last 10 minutes to close debate on this matter?

Mr. SANTORUM. Sure.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the Senator's request? Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I would also say the Senator from Illinois who was here was going to speak for up to 8 minutes. Prior to this beginning, I wonder if he still wishes to speak, the Senator from Illinois?

I ask unanimous consent that the Senator from Illinois be recognized until 1:40, when the debate on partial-birth abortion is finalized.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection? The Senator from Illinois.

HEALTH INSURANCE

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I will be very brief, but I wanted to make a point on the RECORD relative to some messages and information I received from my State which I would like to share with my colleagues.

During the month of August, I went back across the State of Illinois and

visited with a lot of people, including chambers of commerce, labor unions, families, and community leaders. I would say for the third or fourth consecutive year, the report I received from businesses in particular in my State was identical. When I asked them what their major concern was, time and again they came back and said the same thing. It is the No. 1 concern of businesses across America when it comes to the cost of doing business and competitiveness. It is the No. 1 concern of labor unions across America when it comes to fair compensation for their employees. It is the No. 1 concern of more and more families across the United States as they realize how vulnerable they are.

What is that concern? The cost of health insurance. Time and time again that issue resurfaces. I have to tell my constituents in Illinois, my friends in business and labor, that I understand what they are saying. But this is an issue which has gone unaddressed in Washington in the time I have been here, for the last 7 years, in the Senate. It is as if the people in the Senate, the men and women like myself who are talking back home, are not listening or at least they are not coming back here and saying: What can we do about this?

There are some who have an automatic reaction and say: Don't jump in with a Government solution. The market will solve this problem.

I would say to them that the market is addressing this problem. The market of health insurance in America is reducing coverage, reducing their exposure to risk, and raising costs to increase their profitability.

What I am about to say is not just anecdotal evidence of a trip around Illinois this year or for the last 4 years, but it is the same thing we found when the Kaiser Family Foundation released their annual report on health insurance across America, and I commend it to those following this debate: KFF.ORG, KFF.ORG. Go to that Web site and you will find this report on the cost of health insurance.

According to this report, monthly premiums for employer-sponsored health insurance went up 13.9 percent between 2002 and 2003, the third successive year of double-digit increases in the cost of health insurance, while inflation in general is going up 2.2 percent. Of course workers are paying more out of pocket and receiving less coverage.

Small businesses are getting hammered if they can afford health insurance. If they can't afford it, frankly, they are on their own, and that is not a good outcome here. The question is, Why are these rates going up?

When the Kaiser Foundation asked the businesses what they thought, the No. 1 reason was the cost of prescription drugs going through the roof.

I talked to the CEO of the biggest company in Illinois during my August recess. They are self-insured for health. He told me they are now spending more money on prescription drugs for their employees and retirees than they are for the rest of their health insurance costs—more on prescription drugs. Prescription drugs are skyrocketing in cost. We are doing nothing about it, either in the prescription drug benefit for seniors or in any other legislation.

The second reason, of course, for the cost of health insurance going up is the cost of hospital services. So you might ask, What about the health insurance companies? How are they doing? That is interesting.

The Weiss Ratings, an insurance rating agency, looked at the profits for 519 health insurance companies. They evaluated these companies and they learned that between 2001 and 2002, of these 519 health insurance companies, their profits went up 77 percent. The same review had shown a 25-percent increase in the years 2000 to 2001. And the trend is continuing this year.

Have you seen the ads for PacifiCare Health Systems where the whale jumps out of the water and splashes in? In the second quarter of 2003, PacifiCare Health Systems, which serves 12 million Americans, reported a profit increase of 260 percent. UnitedHealth Group reported a 35-percent increase. Aetna reported a 28-percent increase.

These are extraordinary profit margins in the midst of a recession in America. They are profit margins at the expense of businesses, their employees, of labor unions and their members, and families across America. For my colleagues who say it is hands off, Government cannot get involved in this debate, this is an issue to be resolved in the marketplace, I remind you again it is being resolved in the marketplace as health insurance premiums skyrocket and coverage disappears.

A friend of mine with a small business in downstate Illinois and 10 employees had 1 employee whose wife had a baby who was sick. The baby incurred great costs at the hospital. The next year, when his small business went in for their health insurance, they were told their premiums would double—a 100-percent increase from one year to the next because of one claim.

This man and his wife had this company in their family for generations. They called together the 10 employees and said: We cannot do it. We cannot pay it anymore. We are going to give you the money which we would have put in your monthly paycheck each month for your health insurance. You have to go try to find coverage.

The family with the sick baby could not find any. The others went out and did the best they could. I asked the owner of the company, who was genuinely saddened when it reached that point, what did it mean? He said: I'm in the open market for health insurance. It meant at his age, about 58-years-ofage, and his wife about the same, that whatever they make a claim for under their health insurance policy this year will be excluded from next year.